Complex Number Tasks

Information for lecturers

Context

Complex numbers (CN) are taught in a Foundation mathematics module which is part of the Foundation Studies Programme at our university. The Foundation year is a one-year long course, sometimes referred to as a 'Year 0', and a preparation year for Engineering and Science students who wish to progress to the first year of a (STEM) degree. For our university, the reason for taking a Foundation year is mostly related to a lack of an appropriate prior qualification, often a mathematics or physics A-level. Although these tasks were used with Foundation students, they could also be used with a first year Engineering cohort.

Students enrolled on this module have a varied mathematical background - typically GCSE grade C as highest mathematical qualification to A level grade A[1]. The Foundation year spans two semesters, each with twelve weeks of teaching and three weeks set aside for assessment (across all the courses taken). Foundation students are enrolled on four courses each semester (at our university we call them modules); mathematics is taught in both semesters and is compulsory for all. The following topics are taught in the mathematics foundation module:

Algebra, Logarithms, Inequalities, Functions, Trigonometry, Vectors, Differentiation, Integration, Sequences and Series in Semester 1 (October to January) and Polynomials, Partial Fractions, Further Calculus, Conic Sections, Vectors, Matrices, Complex Numbers in Semester 2 (February to June).

Aims

The tasks were designed in collaboration with first-year Engineering students who had completed the Foundation Studies Programme in the previous year. We refer to the students who were involved in the designing of the tasks as 'Student-Partners' (see Chapter 16 on Case studies from LU). The focus of the tasks is the arithmetic operations on CNs, a topic which is typically introduced algebraically. Using dynamic software, the aim of using the CN tasks is to provide geometric insights that complement an algebraic approach of presenting CNs. Seeing geometry and algebra as fundamental to all mathematics (Atiyah, 2001) we also wish to make this connection explicitly in teaching and learning. From the research literature we know that providing geometric insights has been shown to potentially both help and hinder,

---

[1] These are UK qualifications. A GCSE grade C is an average grade for a student leaving compulsory formal education (typically aged 16); an A-level grade A (now newly introduced A*) is the highest grade for a student leaving post-compulsory (16-18) education (typically aged 18).

(Gueudet-Chartier, 2004). However, we align ourselves with research into geometric representations as a means of connecting with students' more intuitive understanding of a concept or notion (Stewart & Thomas, 2009; Uhlig, 2003).

The tasks

There are six CN tasks on a 'hand-out', that is written instructions for students. In addition, students access six interactive Computer files embedded in the software Autograph. All six tasks were designed to be used in a single session (in a Computer lab) of 50 minutes - forming part of students' workshop or tutorial provision). The six tasks are:

- Addition of two CNs,,
- Subtraction of two CNs
- Multiplying together two complex numbers
- Multiplying a complex number and its complex conjugate
- Raising a complex number to the power 2 (i.e. squaring)
- Raising a complex number to the power 3 (i.e. cubing)

The tasks were used in Semester 2 in week 8 and after the formal introduction of CNs in the lecture. Students could choose to work in pairs or on their own. While some chose to work with a peer, most students (over the three years of trialling the tasks) worked on their own.

Learning activity

One way to design a CN task on addition could be: Given z_1 and z_2, find z_1+z_2 and use Autograph to check that your answer is correct. This kind of question mirrors the way many exercises are designed in mathematics textbooks (and are important in their own right). The CN tasks presented here were designed to allow students to explore concepts without focussing on 'correct' answers. Hence, this particular (addition) question was 'reversed' to ask "Given z_1, what is z_2, in order that $z=a+bi$. 'Addition' is not mentioned and comes out of the working on the task as is linking addition of CNs to the 'triangle law' or 'parallelogram law' - the geometric representation.

Two tasks including the addition task are described in more detail below. As the Foundation Studies Programme at our university leads to most students entering Engineering degrees, the Engineering convention of using the letter j (in place of $i)$ was adopted.

Lecturer experience of using the tasks

The students worked on the problems without any significant difficulties. It seems that they found it relatively easy to follow the instructions on the 'hand-out'. Questions raised at the beginning of the session centred mostly on how to access the computer files.

Questions raised by students while working on some of the tasks often centred on the meaning of geometry or geometric. Although used by the teacher in the lecture, it seems that students were not very familiar with geometric notions which may be a result of a lack of teaching of geometry at school level.

In addition, there were comments by some students that the instructions were too long or "too wordy". This raises an important point in relation to special needs provision, in particular provision for dyslexic students who may find long instructions difficult to follow and

unmotivating. The teacher of the course made the decision to shorten the tasks and to keep instructions to a minimum. Hence, the current version is a remake of the original design.

[Additional note: While the computer files run on Autograph only, it is possible to re-design them for use on GeoGebra (a free software).]

Special Needs

None of the tasks have been modified for use by students identified with a special or additional need. The tasks are carried out on a computer and may be problematic to access for sensory impaired students. However, teaching could be structured in such a way that all students work in pairs which may be more inclusive of all students.

Assessment

There is no assessment linked to the tasks. This is a problem if the (local) student culture is such that work - which will not formally be assessed - is ignored or not taken seriously. Engagement with the task in tutorials was comparable with engagement in tutorials more generally during the year. However, some informal comments received from students did relate to questions of the kind "Will this be in our exam?" Hence, one brief conceptual type question was included on the final examination paper[2] and generally answered correctly by students.

The tasks

Worksheets and Autograph files (source files and screenshots) are provided separately. As examples I present two tasks of the six tasks that were used with students: Task 1 on the addition of CNs and Task 4 on multiplying a complex number and its complex conjugate.

Task 1 - Addition

Written instruction for students in the tutorial:

Module Title: **Tutorial: Complex Numbers**

Task 1:   Open the Autograph file *Task 1*

There are three complex numbers labelled z_1, z_2 and z. z_1 is to be kept fixed while z_2 and z can be moved. Select z_2 and move it until z reaches the position $6 + 5j$.

(a) What complex number is z_2?
Right click and "Unhide All" to check your answer. The correct answer appears in green.

(b) What is the mathematical relationship between z_1, z_2 and z (how are they connected)?

(c) Now calculate by hand: With $z_1 = -3 + j$ and $z = 6 + 5j$, find z_2 such that $z_1 + z_2 = z$.

(d) Re-load *Task 1*. Move z_2 around the screen and notice how z changes. Describe the position of z in relation to z_1 and z_2.

(e) Explore this relationship. Move z_1 and z_2 to different locations but make sure that z still ends up being $6 + 5j$. Does what you thought in (d) still hold?

---

[2] Example of question on the final examination: Explain the effect on magnitude and argument when a complex number is (i) squared, (ii) cubed.

Screenshot of Autograph file that students can access on the University server:

| Task 1 | Task 1 with z_2 moved |

In this task three complex numbers are displayed on the screen, z_1, z_2 and z where z is equal to z_1+z_2 (see Task 1). The instructions state to keep z_1 fixed and to move z_2 until z reaches a specified position, here *6+5j* (see Task 1 with z_2 moved). As z_2 is moved, the linked object z_1+z_2 moves dynamically with it. Students have to determine z_2 and determine the (arithmetic) relationship between z_1 and z_2 (addition) and its geometric representation (parallelogram law or triangle law). Students follow the instructions on the hand-out and explore the geometric representation with other values for both z_2 and z.

The inquiry element comes from being able to use the software to explore addition without performing any calculations.

Task 4 - Complex conjugate

Written instruction for students in the tutorial:

Screenshot of Autograph file that students access on the University server:

| Task 4 | Task 4 with z_2 moved |

In this task three complex numbers are displayed on the screen, z_1, z_2 and z where z is equal to $z_1 z_2$ (see Task 4). In the task students observe what happens to z when z_2 is moved into the position of the complex conjugate of z_1 (see Task 4 with z_2 moved). In this task no reference is made to any numerical value for z_1 or for z_2, or their product. This task has a very different character to Task 1. It is more general and almost invites exploration.

Using the polar grid representation instead of Cartesian axes (possible in Autograph) would show the angles and to recognising that they cancel out – one angle taking a positive value while the other (while equal in size) is negative. In a follow-up one of the student-partners commented on this task and the potential for deeper understanding, saying that while many students may know that the multiplication of a complex number and its complex conjugate results in a real number, they - as partners in the design of this task – knew 'why' that was the case.

Atiyah, M. (2001) Mathematics in the 20th century: Geometry versus algebra, *Mathematics Today*, *37*(2), 46–53.

Gueudet-Chartier, G. (2004). Should we teach linear algebra through geometry? *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, *379*, 491–501.

Stewart, S. & Thomas, M. O. J. (2009). A framework for mathematical thinking: The case of linear algebra. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, *40*(7), 951–961.

Uhlig, F. (2003). Author's response to the comments on 'The Role of Proof in Comprehending and Teaching Elementary Linear Algebra'. *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 53*(3), 271–274.